All available NCA Notes:

- 1. Canadian Administrative Law
- 2. Canadian Constitutional Law
- 3. Canadian Criminal Law
- 4. Canadian Professional Responsibility
- 5. Foundations of Canadian Law
- 6. Contracts
- 7. Property
- 8. Torts

To purchase and instantly download any of our Notes to your device, please login at https://intraci.com/nca-notes/

The previews for each Note are also available on the page.

All our Notes have been specifically prepared for Candidates taking the National Committee on Accreditation (NCA) Examinations. They are all updated to the current NCA Syllabus.

Published for: International Lawyers Transfer to Canada Initiative (iNTRACI) at www.intraci.com

© January 2023. All Rights Reserved

ISBN: 978-1-7770903-4-0

WARNING:

This Note, in all its formats, is protected by copyright.

The unauthorized download, editing, printing, sharing, re-selling, duplication, distribution, and/or reproduction of copies of this Note in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means or devices whatsoever including photocopying or transmission (whether for private or commercial purposes) constitutes copyright infringement under the Copyright Act, R.S.C., 1985, c. C-42.

Civil remedies for copyright infringement can be as high as \$150,000 per work infringed and may also include injunction, accounts, delivery up and costs. Equally, criminal penalties for wilful copyright infringement, may on conviction on indictment be as high as \$1,000,000 or imprisonment to a term not more than five years or both. It may also include restitution, fines or prohibition and detention by customs officers.

By purchasing and/or downloading this Note in any of its formats, you are hereby deemed to undertake not to share it or infringe on its copyright. Please be informed that this undertaking also applies to all intending licensees in Canada.

Table of Contents

Section 1. BASIC CONCEPTS

1.	Sources And Nature Of The Constitution	10		
2.	Amending Procedures and Secession	24		
	Section II: DISTRIBUTION OF LEGISLATIVE POV	VERS		
3.	Federalism	45		
4.	Judicial Review And Principles Of Interpretation	58		
5.	Property and Civil Rights	79		
6.	Trade and Commerce	95		
7.	Peace, Order and Good Government	103		
8.	Criminal Law	114		
9.	Ancillary Powers	126		
10.	Paramountcy	129		
11.	Interjurisdictional Immunity	138		
	Section III: ABORIGINAL RIGHTS AND THE CONSTITUTION			
12.	Introduction	145		
13.	Aboriginal Rights	149		
14.	Aboriginal Title	158		
15.	Treaty Rights	162		
16.	Duty to Consult	166		
	Section IV: CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS			
17.	Interpreting the Charter of Rights and Freedoms	173		
18.	Application of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms	183		
19.	Override of Rights	196		
20.	Freedom of Conscience and Religion	200		
21.	Freedom of Expression	208		
22.	Life, Liberty and Security of the Person	222		
23.	Equality Rights	233		
24.	Limitation of Rights	244		
25.	Remedies	254		

Detailed Table of Contents

Intı	o to my	Constitutional Law Note	8
		Section I: BASIC CONCEPTS	
1.	Sources and Nature of the Constitution		10
	a.	The Constitution Act, 1867	11
	b.	The Constitution Act, 1982	12
	c.	Definition of the Constitution of Canada	13
	d.	Imperial Statutes	15
	e.	Canadian Statutes	16
	f.	Parliamentary Privilege	16
	g.	Case Law	17
	h. i.	Royal Prerogative Conventions	18 19
	1.	Required Case: Reference re Secession of Quebec	22
2.	Amone	ling Procedures and Secession	24
4.	Amen	Part A : Amending Procedures	2-
	a.	Amending Procedures Amending Procedures under Part V	25
	а. b.	History of the Quest for a Domestic Amending Procedure	25
	c.	Amending Procedures	27
	C.	i. General Amending Procedure - section 38	27
		ii. Unanimity Procedure – section 41	32
		iii. Some-But-Not-All-Provinces – Section 43	33
		iv. Federal Parliament Alone – Section 44	34
		v. Provincial Legislation Alone - Section 45	35
	d.	Amendment and the Charter of Rights	36
		Part B : Secession	
	a.	The Power to Secede	37
	b.	Secession by Amendment	40
	c.	Secession by Unilateral Act	41
		Section II – Distribution of Legislative Powers	
3.	Federa	lism	45
•	a.	Federalism vs. Other System of Governments	45
	b.	Federalism in Canada	51
	c.	Supremacy of the Constitution	54
	d.	Role of the Courts	55
	e.	Cooperative Federalism	57
4.	Judicia	al Review and Principles of Interpretation	58
	a.	Procedure of Judicial Review	59
	b.	Characterization of Laws	60
		i. Matter	60
		ii. Singling Out	62
		iii. Double Aspect	63
		iv. Purpose	64
		v. Effect	65
		vi. Efficacy vii. Colourability	66
	C	Severance	66 68

	d.	Reading Down	69
	e.	Principles of Interpretation of the Constitution	69
		i. Relevance	69
		ii. Exclusiveness	70
		iii. Concurrency	70
		iv. Exhaustiveness	71
		v. Progressive Interpretation	72
		vi. Unwritten Constitutional Principles vii. Legislative History	74 75
		vii. Legislative History viii. Precedent	75 75
		Required Cases:	75
		i. R. v. Morgentaler (No. 3) (1993)	75
		ii. Canadian Western Bank v. Alberta (2007)	76 76
		II. Calladiali Westerii Balik V. Alberta (2007)	70
5.	Property and Civil Rights		79
	a.	Insurance	79
	b.	Business in General	81
	c.	Professions and Trade	83
	d.	Labor Relations	83
	e.	Marketing	85
	f.	Securities Regulation	87
	g.	Property	88
	h.	Debt Adjustment	89
	i.	Consumer Protection	89
	j.	Extraterritorial Competence	90
	Required Cases:		,,,
	i. Citizens' Insurance Company of Canada v. Parsons (1881)		90
		ii. Chatterjee v. Ontario (Att-Gen) (2009)	91
		iii. Reference re Securities Act (2011)	92
			95
6.		Interprovincial and International Trade and Commerce	95 96
	a.	•	
	b. General Trade and Commerce		98
		Required Cases:	101
		i. General Motors of Canada Ltd. V. City National Leasing	101 102
	ii. Reference re Securities Act (2011)		102
7.	Peace,	Order and Good Government -	103
	a.	The "GAP" Branch	104
	b.	The "National Concern" Branch	105
	c.	The "Emergency" Branch	108
		Required Cases:	
		i. Reference re Anti-Inflation Act (1976)	110
		ii. References re Greenhouse Gas Pollution Pricing Act, 2021	111
•	a	17	111
8.	Criminal Law -		114
	a)	In Food and Drugs	115
	b)	Health	116
	c)		116
	d)	Abortion	117
	e)	Assisted Human Reproduction	117
	f)	Competition Law	118
	g)	Sunday Observance Laws	119

	h)	Gun Control	120
	i)	Prevention of Crime	121
	j)	Criminal Law and Regulatory Authority	122
	k)	Criminal Law and Civil Remedy	122
		Required Cases:	
		i. Reference re Validity of s. 5(1) Dairy Industry Act (Margarine Reference)	123
	i	i. Reference re Firearms Act (Can.) 2000	123
	i	ii. Reference re Genetic Non-Discrimination Act, 2020	124
9.	Ancilla	ry Powers -	126
		Required Cases:	
		i. General Motors of Canada Ltd. v. City National Leasing (1989)	127
		ii. Quebec v. Lacombe (2010)	128
10.	Paramo	ountcy -	129
	a.	Definition of Inconsistency	130
	b.	Inconsistency by Express Contradiction	130
		i. Impossibility of Dual Compliance	131
		ii. Frustration of Federal Purpose	132
	c.	Inconsistency by Negative Implication	133
		i. Covering the field	133
		ii. Express Extension of Paramountcy	135
	d.	Overlap and Duplication	135
	e.	Effect of Inconsistency	135
		Required Case:	
		i. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc. v. Saskatchewan	137
11.	Interiu	risdictional Immunity	138
,	a.	Application to Federal Subjects	139
	b.	Application to Provincial Subjects	142
	٥.	Required Cases	
		i. Canadian Western Bank v. Alberta (2007)	142
		ii. Quebec (Att-Gen) v. Canadian Owners and Pilots Association (2010)	142
		Section III: ABORIGINAL RIGHTS AND THE CONSTITUT	<u>ION</u>
12.	Introdu	action	145
	a.	Federal Legislative Power Over Indians	145
	b.	Provincial Legislative Power Over Indians	146
	c.	Section 88 Indian Act	148
13.	Aborig	inal Rights	149
	a.	Aboriginal Rights	150
	b.	Aboriginal Right of Self Government	152
		Required Cases	
		i. R. v. Sparrow (1990)	153
		ii. R. v. Van der Peet (1996)	155
		iii. R. v. Powley (2003)	157
14.	Aborig	inal Title	158
		Required Case	
		i. Tsilhqot'in Nation v British Columbia (2014)	160
15.	Treaty	Rights	162

	a. Constitutional Protection of Aboriginal and Treaty Rights Under S. 35	164
	Required Case	
	i. R. v. Marshall (1999)	165
16.	Duty to Consult	166
	a. Remedies for Breach of Section 35	169
	Required Cases:	
	i. Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Minister of Forests)	171
	Section IV – THE CANADIAN CHARTER OF RIGHTS AND FREEDO	<u>)M</u>
17.	Interpreting the Charter of Rights and Freedoms -	173
	a. Role of Sections 1 and 33	174
	b. The Remedial Discretion of Courts	176
	c. Interpretation of Charter	177
	d. Conflicts of Rights and Hierarchy of Rights	178
	e. Sources of Interpretation	179
	f. Commencement of the Charter	181
18.	Application of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms -	183
	a. Who are Entitled to the Benefits Conferred under the Charter?	183
	b. Who is Bound by the Charter?	185
	c. Waiver of Rights	191
	Required Cases:	
	i. Eldridge v. British Columbia (Att-Gen)	193
	ii. Greater Vancouver Trans Authority v. Canadian Federation of Students	194
19.	Override of Rights -	196
	a. Features of Section 33	196
	Required Case:	
	i. Ford v. Quebec (Att-Gen)	197
20.	Freedom of Conscience and Religion -	200
	a. Freedom of Conscience	200
	b. Freedom of Religion	200
	Required Cases:	
	i. Syndicat Northcrest v. Amselem	205
	ii. Reference re Same Sex Marriage	206
	iii. Mouvement laique quebecois v. Saguenay	206
21.	Freedom of Expression -	208
	a. Meaning of "Expression"	208
	b. Limiting Expression	210
	c. Scope of Freedom of Expression	212
	d. Access to Public Property	214
	e. Access to Courts	215
	f. Other Instances Of Restrictions	216
	Required Cases:	
	i. Irwin Toy Ltd. v. Quebec (Attorney General) (1989) 218	
	ii. Montreal (City) v. 2952-1366 Quebec Inc.	219
	iii. Saskatchewan (Human Rights Commission) v Whatcott,	221
22	Life Liberty and Security of the Darson	222

	a. Right to Life		222
	b. Right to Liberty		223
	c. Right to Security of the Person		224
	d. Exception to Right to Life, Liberty a	nd Security of the person	225
	e. The Scope and Effect of Section 7	, 1	225
	f. Trial Process and Fundamental Justic	e	228
	Required Cases:		
	i. Canada v. PHS Community Service	es Society (Insite Case)	231
	ii. Canada (Attorney General) v Bedfo		231
	iii. Carter v Canada, 2015 SCC 5		232
23.	. Equality Rights	_	233
	a. Guarantee of Equality		234
	b. Guarantee of No Discrimination		236
	c. Listed or Analogous Grounds of Disc	crimination	237
	d. Section 1 Justification		238
	e. Discriminations Permitted by the Co	estitution	238
	Required Cases:	1541-041	200
	i. Andrews v. Law Society of British	Columbia (1989)	240
	ii. R. v. Kappa (2008)	,	241
	iii. Fraser v. Canada (2020)		242
24.	. Limitation of Rights	-	244
	a. There Must be a Sufficiently Importa	nt Objective	245
	b. The Proportionality Test	J	247
	1. Rational Connection		248
	2. Least Drastic Means (Minimum Im	pairment Test)	249
	3. Proportionate effect		250
	Required Cases:		
	i. R. v. Oakes		251
	ii. Alberta v. Hutterian Brethren o	f Wilson Colony	252
25.	. Remedies	<u>-</u>	254
	Part A: The Remedy Clause		
	a. Section 24(1) of the Charter		254
	i. Standing		255
	ii. Remedies Available Under S. 24(1)		257
	iii. Remedy of Appeals under s. 24(1)		258
	iv. Limitation Period in Charter Cases		259
	b. Section 24(2) of the Charter		260
	Part B: Supremacy Clause		
	a. Remedies Available under S. 52(1) for	or Charter Cases	261
	b. Doctrine of Reconstruction		263
	c. Breach of S. 23 (Minority Language	Rights) and Remedial Orders	264
	Required Cases:		
	i. Vriend v. Alberta		264
	ii. Vancouver (City) v. Ward iii. Ontario (Attorney General) v. G. (20	20)	265 266
	III. Ontario (Attornev General) V. G. (20	IZATI	200

Introduction to my Constitutional Law Notes

This is the January 2023 version of the NCA Constitutional Law note updated in sync with the new NCA syllabus. If you ask me, I'd say there's nothing new in the January 2023 syllabus. I have scrutinized this repeatedly and compared it with the January 2022 version but found no addition or subtraction. They are both the same. Therefore, if you had the January 2022 edition of my Constitutional Law note, you do not have to bother yourself obtaining the January 2023 version, since they are the same. I am only doing this update for the sake of new users and in order to keep with my promise of always updating my notes in line with the NCA syllabus. Fortunately for me, I had made use of the latest Student Edition of Peter Hogg as my reference in preparing note, which made it comprehensively adequate and sufficient. Truth is that I had envisaged that NCA would soon update the constitutional law syllabus because the last update was in 2015. So, my June 2021 Version was made in anticipation of that update and I had already included many other topics and cases that were eventually added in the January 2022 update.

This note was conceived to simplify the course, specifically for the purpose of the NCA exams. It consists of a summary of all the topics contained in the updated syllabus. More importantly, all the cases listed in the syllabus were duly summarized for ease of reference. The facts of each case are summarized in a few paragraphs and the most relevant portions of the decisions have been culled and inserted under each topic. In some cases too, only the ratios of the courts are listed in one or two sentences, to make things easier for you. There are also several other cases which are not even listed in the syllabus but contained in the required materials and also discovered through my research. Many of these, including SCC decisions in 2021, have been included here to provide you with additional resources for your reference. Now, you do not have to read hundreds of pages of law reports to understand the principles and authorities enunciated in each case. (I must advise though that you read all the facts and ratio listed in this note. It will broaden your knowledge of the topics and widen your understanding).

In preparing this note, I have made substantial and boundless references to the current Student Edition of Constitutional Law of Canada by Peter W. Hogg. I have made use of this textbook expansively and referred to the contents extensively. This is in addition to the scores of other materials on Canadian constitutional law from other sources.

You will find this note very useful for study and review. And during your examination, please ensure you make a printout of the note, familiarize yourself very well with it and take with you to the examination. It is going to be of tremendous assistance to you for quick reference during the exam. Therefore, while on the exam, you may not need to flip through multitudes of pages trying to look for an authority or a principle of law. This note will help you. It helped me in my exam and I passed at first attempt. Meanwhile, I have equally included a few exam tips.

And as I have always stated, it is recommended that you take time to read the required texts and any supplementary materials. The notes should only augment your study of the required texts because texts teach you much more about the course while the note is a summary, for reference purposes, and for use in the exam. In addition, I recommend you read the Constitution Acts, 1867 to 1982. These will enlighten and give you a better understanding of the subject. You can download these free and easily online.

I wish you the best in your examinations.

Section I: BASIC CONCEPTS

This section will introduce you to the basic concepts of Canadian Constitutional Law.

This is where you will learn about the history, development, sources and nature of the Constitution including the definition of the Constitution of Canada and where it is derived from. All these are in Chapter 1.

Chapter 2 deals with amendment of the Canadian Constitution. What are the procedures for amending the Constitution? Which level of government, federal or provincial, has the constitutional power to unilaterally amend what provisions in the Constitution? What provisions cannot be amended except with the unanimous consent of the provinces and/or the federal Parliament? All these are contained in Chapter 2.

Please note that Chapter 2 also contains another topic which is Secession. Hence, to make things easier for you, I divided Chapter 2 into Parts A & B. Therefore, Secession as a topic is dealt with in Part B of the Chapter.

Exam tips: amending procedures are among the very important topics you should get familiar with. You are most likely to encounter questions on these topics in your exams.

Chapter 1

Sources and Nature of the Constitution

In Canada as elsewhere, what constitutional law does is to prescribe the exercise of power and the limits in the exercise of those powers by the different organs of government, viz.:

- legislature to make laws
- executive to implement the laws
- judiciary to adjudicate in disputes

Constitutionalism or rule of law describes the limitations placed on government officials which bind them to act in accordance with the law. On the other hand, remedies are provided for the citizens when these officials act outside the law. For this to be possible there must be an independent judiciary as well as an independent legal system.

Rule of law in Canada applies to the Parliament of Canada and the Legislatures of each province. It binds them to act only within the powers conferred on each of them by the constitution as well as respect the civil liberties which are regarded as part of the constitution. This is because civil liberties may be created by the rules which limit governmental exercise of power over individuals.

Constitutionalism or rule of law also allows the citizens to challenge laws enacted by any arm of government in breach of constitutional provisions. A successful challenge will result in such a law being struck by the court. Similarly, all the actions of government departments, public agencies, government officials and the police must be within the ambit of the constitution, or statutes or common law, otherwise the citizens are entitled to challenge any illegal action in the law courts and seek the appropriate remedy.

In Canada, the constitution comes from various sources. Some of these are written instruments while in many other cases, unwritten rules have been held to be part of the principles of the Canadian Constitution. We shall now list and examine below the various sources of Canadian constitutional law. But please note in advance that laws made by Parliament or the provincial legislatures are not principal sources of constitutional law:

a. The Constitution Act, 1867

Unlike the American Constitution, there is no single document in Canada that can be referred to as "the constitution". The closest to this is the British North America Act, 1867 (which was renamed as the Constitution Act in 1982). The British North America Act, 1867 (the BNA Act) created the dominion of Canada when it united the three British colonies in North America and provided guidelines for the other colonies and territories.

The BNA Act established federalism, which allocated governmental powers between the federal Parliament and the provincial Legislatures. While the main objective of the BNA Act was not independence from the UK, the Dominion of Canada enjoyed a considerable degree of self-government, even while it remained a British colony. However, this Act did not follow the US model which codified all the rules in the constitution; it only made sufficient provisions for the creation of a confederation. This is clear even from the preamble which states that the new nation was to have 'a Constitution similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom'.

So, the BNA Act merely continued with the old rules in both form and substance just like before. Therefore, most of the rules surrounding Canada's constitutional law were found in various sources outside the BNA Act. In fact, most of the important constitutional rules were not matters of law at all, but rather conventions which were not enforceable in the courts.

The BNA Act thus operated with some noticeable gaps, as follows:

- 1. The absence of a general amending clause: This is an indication that the framers of the BNA Act wanted the status to continue. In that wise, any amendment to the BNA Act could only be carried out by the Imperial Parliament in London. As a matter of fact, all amendments to the BNA Act were carried out by the Imperial Parliament up until 1982 when the Constitution Act, 1982 (which was itself an imperial statute) was enacted and it then contained a Canadian amending procedure for the constitution.
- 2. The office of Governor General: There is no provision in the BNA Act for the creation of the office of Governor General who has been vested with general executive authority. The reason behind this is obviously the belief that the Governor General would continually be appointed by the Queen, acting on the advice of the British Colonial Secretary. And this omission was never rectified in all the amendments to the BNA Act, which in effect, remains that the Governor General is still appointed by the Queen till today, albeit on the advice of Canadian ministers.

- 3. Responsible (cabinet) government: this was practiced by the colonies before confederation and the framers of the BNA Act intended the system to apply also to the new federal government. However, this was omitted in the BNA Act hence no mention was made of the Prime Minister, the cabinet, or that a cabinet will only be formed by the party which has majority in the House of Commons. Also, the composition of the actual executive authority and its relationship to the legislative authority remained unwritten conventions just as in United Kingdom, even till date.
- 4. The Supreme Court of Canada (SCC): although authority for the creation of the SCC, modeled after the US, is contained in s. 101 of the BNA Act, no such court was actually established by the Act. This was simply because the framers of the BNA Act were comfortable having the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council (JCPC) in England (which was not even mentioned anywhere in the BNA Act too) as the final appellate court. This gap ultimately resulted in the fact that the SCC was established by only a federal statute in 1875 and final appeals to the JCPC only stopped in 1949. So, till date, the existence, composition and jurisdiction of the SCC only depend on a federal statute i.e., the Supreme Court Act.
- 5. Bill of Rights unlike the American model, the framers also omitted a bill of rights in the BNA Act and left the protection of Canadian civil liberties to the moderation of their legislatures and the common law, just as it applies in the United Kingdom. Thus, when Canada adopted the Bill of Rights in 1960, it was enacted merely as a federal statute and only applied to the federal government. It was not until the Constitution Act was promulgated in 1982 that a bill of rights was added to Canada's constitutional law and named the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. It became entrenched (meaning it can only be altered by constitutional amendment procedures) in the constitution, and made applicable to provincial and federal laws.

b. The Constitution Act, 1982

The Canada Act, 1982 was promulgated by the UK Parliament, and Schedule B to that Act contained the Constitution Act, 1982, which in turn contains the Charter of Rights. The major changes brought about by this Act are:

- a domestic amending formula for the constitution was included;
- authority of UK Parliament to make laws for Canada was terminated;

- the Charter of Rights was adopted,
- it changed the name of the BNA Act to the Constitution Act, 1867.
- it provides for the first time a definition of the phrase, "Constitution of Canada".

However, the Canada Act, 1982 or the Constitution Act, 1982 were not technically an amendment or really a codification of existing Canada's constitutional law. They were merely two more self-sufficient statutes that add to the variety of sources of Canada's constitutional law.

c. Definition of the Constitution of Canada

Section 52((2) of the Constitution Act, 1982 defines the 'Constitution of Canada' as follows:

52 (2) The Constitution of Canada includes

- a) the Canada Act 1982, including this Act;
- b) the Acts and orders referred to in the schedules, and
- c) any amendment to any Act or order referred to in paragraph (a) or (b).

From the above definition, therefore, the following 3 categories of instruments all form components of the Canadian Constitution respectively:

- a. Canada Act, 1982 which includes the Constitution Act, 1982 as Schedule B,
- b. a list of 30 Acts and Orders which are included in the Schedule to the Constitution Act, 1982. This list includes the Constitution Act, 1867 and its amendments, the orders in council and statutes which admitted or created new provinces or which altered boundaries, and the Statute of Westminster.
- c. the amendments which may in future be made to any of the first two categories above

And as stated earlier, the Charter of Rights is part of the Constitution Act, 1982 because it is Part 1 of that Act which in turn is Schedule B of the Canada Act, 1982, named in s. 52(2) above.

Generally, under Canadian statutes, the use of the word "means" is taken to indicate that a definition is exhaustive. But when the word "includes" is used in a definition, it indicates that the definition is not exhaustive. Therefore, the use of the word "includes" in the definition of the Constitution in s. 52(2) above indicates that the list of the sources of the Constitution of Canada is not exhaustive. This view finds support in the case of **New Brunswick Broadcasting Co. v. Nova Scotia** (1993)

where the SCC held that the definition of the Constitution of Canada in s. 52(2) is not exhaustive. As a result, the court held that the unwritten doctrine of parliamentary privilege should be included in the definition, even if s. 52(2) did not specifically mention it. More so, preamble to Constitution Act, 1867 states that the constitution is to be 'similar in principle to that of the United Kingdom'.

Although many important instruments were omitted from the list of 30 instruments in s. 52(2), the argument in favor of a non-exhaustive view of the section is that it is intended to catch future constitutional amendments that are missed in paragraph (c) of (2). However, what the SCC judgment suggests is that the definition of the Constitution of Canada is capable of been judicially expanded in the future beyond the 30 instruments in the schedule and this renders uncertain the extent of the constitution.

One of the important statutes that were omitted from the schedule to the Constitution Act, 1982 is the Supreme Court Act. As indicated above, there was no provision in the Constitution Act, 1867 for the establishment of the Supreme Court of Canada. However, s. 41(d) of the Constitution Act, 1982 listed the composition of the SCC as one of the items that can only be amended through the "seven-fifty procedure". The test of this provision arose in the **Supreme Court Reference (2014)** case where the federal Parliament proposed a small change in the composition of the court. The SCC held that the implication to be drawn from the reference in the Constitution Act, 1982 to the SCC was that the provision dealing with the composition of the SCC should be treated as part of the Constitution of Canada which requires the unanimity procedure for its amendment. Therefore, Parliament alone could not change the composition. The effect here is that the provisions of the Supreme Court Act regarding composition and some other essential features of the Court have now been added to the Constitution of Canada.

Another instrument not included in the schedule to the Constitution Act, 1982 is the Act of Settlement, 1701 which settled the rules of succession to the English throne and forbade any Roman Catholic or a person married to a Roman Catholic from succeeding to the Crown of England. In 2003, a Canadian challenge was brought against this Act in **O'Donohue v. The Queen** on the ground that the Act discriminated on the basis of religion given the guarantee of equality under s. 15 of the Charter of Rights. In striking out the application, the court, like in the *New Brunswick case*, also made reference to the preamble of the Constitution Act, 1867 which emphasized a federal union "under the Crown of the United Kingdom". The court held that this requires that the rules of succession must be the same as those of Great Britain. And that if the court were to use the Charter

of Rights to make a unilateral change to the rules of succession, it would amount to making a fundamental change to the office of the Queen without going through the procedure of a constitutional amendment.

The Supremacy Clause and Entrenchment Clause

What then is the legal significance of the definition of the Constitution of Canada? The definition is needed to give supremacy and priority to the Constitution of Canada if and where it is inconsistent with the provisions of other laws. S. 52(1) is the supremacy clause and it states that the "Constitution of Canada is the supreme law of Canada, and any law that is inconsistent with the provisions of the Constitution is, to the extent of the inconsistency, of no force or effect".

Similarly, subsection (3) is the entrenchment cause which provides that amendments "to the Constitution of Canada shall be made only in accordance with the authority contained in the Constitution of Canada". The effect of this clause is to entrench the Constitution of Canada so that it cannot be amended by ordinary legislative actions but only by the amending procedures stipulated in Part V of the Constitution Act, 1982.

d. Imperial Statutes

In addition to the Constitution Act, 1867 and the Constitution Act, 1982 (both of which are also imperial statutes anyway), another source of Canadian constitutional law include the 17 other imperial statutes (which are mostly amendments to the Constitution Act, 1867), and 4 orders in council (made under s. 146 of the Constitution Act, 1867 and which admitted British Columbia and Prince Edward Island as part of Canada).

The difference between the imperial statutes that are part of the Constitution of Canada and those which are not, is that those that are part of the Constitution can only be amended according to constitutional provisions on amendment since they are part of the constitution. But those imperial statutes that are not part of the Constitution do not enjoy any special privilege or supremacy over other statutes of Parliament. Like any other laws, they can be amended by Parliament or repealed by the Parliament or provincial legislature that has authority over its subject matter.

e. Canadian Statutes

Eight Canadian statutes were enacted by the federal Parliament but have been included in the definition of the Constitution of Canada. Three of these statutes created the provinces of Alberta, Manitoba and Saskatchewan while the remaining five were amendments to the Constitution Act, 1867. Although these statutes were enacted in the ordinary way, their inclusion in the definition of Constitution of Canada implies that they now enjoy supremacy over other laws and are entrenched; they can only be amended in accordance with the procedure stipulated by the Constitution.

Other statutes, similar to the Supreme Court Act, not included in the definition of the Constitution of Canada but which are constitutional in the sense that they establish or regulate some other important institutions, are the Canadian Bill of Rights (which purports to limit the powers of the federal Parliament), the statute creating the Federal Court of Canada, the statutes that establish the system of courts, the statutes that provide for elections into Legislature and regulate the proceedings of the Legislature, etc.

f. Parliamentary Privilege

The federal Parliament and the provincial legislatures all possess a set of powers and privileges that the courts have held are 'necessary to their capacity to function as legislative bodies'. These powers and rights are known collectively as 'parliamentary privilege'. For example, the SCC held in **New Brunswick Co. v. Nova Scotia (1993)** that the Nova Scotia legislative assembly has the power to ban the televising of its proceedings, because its powers to exclude strangers from the assembly was part of its parliamentary privilege.

Parliamentary privilege includes freedom of speech in debates, immunity from legal proceedings for things said in debates, right of members of parliament or legislative assemblies not to testify in court proceedings while Parliament or the legislature is in session, etc. See **Telezone v. Canada (2004)**.

However, the claim to parliamentary privilege must not fail the necessity test. In <u>Canada v. Vaid</u> (2005), the chauffer to the Speaker of the House of Commons had complained that he was constructively dismissed on grounds that were contrary to the provisions of the Canadian Human Rights Act. The House objected on the ground that the regulation of employees was the House's internal affair, claiming that the decision of the House in this regard is not reviewable by any external body on the grounds of parliamentary privilege. The SCC rejected this claim on the ground that such a sweeping claim of parliamentary privilege failed the test of necessity. The court

acknowledged that truly, decisions in respect of some employees of the House are not reviewable in order to protect the deliberative functions of the House and, therefore, are covered by parliamentary privilege. However, exclusive and unreviewable jurisdiction over all House employees was not necessary for the functioning of the House as a deliberative body. Some category of employees would include the claimant, or those who staffed the library, the parking and traffic control, repair and maintenance, and those who performed manifold functions that were only indirectly connected to the legislative proceedings in the House.

Note that parliamentary privilege could be regarded as a branch of the common law because it is not contained in any statute or other written law. Secondly, it is the courts who determine the existence and the extent of such parliamentary privilege. However, it is different from royal prerogative and other common law according to the SCC in *New Brunswick Broadcasting case*, in the following ways:

- i. Parliamentary privilege is part of the Constitution of Canada.
- ii. Parliamentary privilege has immunity from the Charter. So, the powers authorized by privilege are not subject to the Charter challenge. On the contrary, all other common law powers must be exercised in accordance with the provision of the Charter of Rights.

Please note too that parliamentary privilege could be legislated or inherent. For example, it is inherent in the provincial legislature, while in the Parliament it is already legislated, by virtue of the power conferred under s. 18 of the Constitution Act, 1867 to enact laws defining the privileges of the Senate and House of Commons. This the Parliament did by enacting a law which defined its powers and privileges as being those possessed by the House of Commons in the UK in 1867. The federal privileges are therefore ascertainable by looking at the law and custom of the House of Commons at Westminster. But whether inherent or legislated, parliamentary privilege is exempt from the Charter.